Comparison of Different Methods for Identification of Electromyography Threshold in Resistance Exercise

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to identify the Electromyographic Threshold (EMGTh) during the dynamic Resistance Exercise, using visual and mathematical identification techniques.

Methods and Results: We evaluated 14 male subjects (22.6 ± 2.1 years) who accomplished an incremental load protocol (10-10% of 1RM) in a half squat guided exercise. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to compare the EMGTh identification methods, in which high reproducibility value was obtained (0.835), confirmed by a moderately strong correlation of 0.721, in general, and yet a pairwise comparison by the Wilcoxon test, which was not identified any difference between the two methods (p> 0.05).

Conclusion: Therefore, the findings of this study show that both methods, visual and mathematical, used to identify the EMGTh, find the same results.
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Introduction

Resistance exercise (RE) is used as a way to promote positive effects on overall health of men and women, as well as in improving the performance of athletes in many sports [1, 2]. It is essential to include parameters that help to control the many variables present in this type of training for the enhance on the inherent gains in the RE.

The surface electromyography (EMG) is an important technique for the evaluation of localized muscle fatigue, recording the changes in action potentials from the muscle [3]. In this sense, some studies have tried to correlate the EMG with the threshold transition (TT) of the
metabolic systems [4.5] and the results highlighted the EMG as a reliable method for workload control. The identification of these thresholds, as well as electromyography, may be a strategy used to check the intensities in which the transition occurs among the metabolic systems predominance during the exercises, having access to the source of fatigue in a specific situation [6]. Thus, it becomes possible to have a more accurate control of training variables, given that the EMG displays values of an immediate response to exercise, different from the blood lactate which has a delayed response to the stimulus [7].

Conceptually, the electromyographic threshold (EMGth) can be defined from the point of non-linearity among the electromyographic activation values and the workload, as a result of the load increment [8] internal and/or external. The procedure used to determine the EMGth can be provided through a visual model [9], from the inference of experienced evaluators, or also by using a mathematical model by the use of linear regression for identification [10]. However, when it comes to EMGth most studies have allocated their efforts in checking it just in cyclical activities, making your application limited in the RE.

Aguiar et al [7] conducted a study in order to identify the transition threshold by acquiring electromyographic signal and blood lactate in isometric resistance exercises. But its methodology does not reflect the dynamic conditions practices that are performed in the RE. However, Nasser [11] presented by the visual identification method, for the biceps curl, transition thresholds (TT) by lactacidemia, spirometry and the EMG, through the signal conduction velocity obtained, and found that EMGTh is equivalent to the gold method for identification of TT, lactacidemia in upper limbs in single-joint exercise. Thus, the aim of this study was to identify the EMGth in ER multiple joint and lower limbs with recreational athletes, using the different techniques, visual and mathematical identifications.

Methods

Subjects
The sample consisted of 14 male subjects (22.6 ± 2.1 years, 178.9 ± 4.7 cm, 81.5 ± 10.4 kg and 19.9 ± 5.7% fat) all college team sports athletes (rugby, basketball, handball, futsal) healthy, and with experience of at least 6 months of strength training and without musculoskeletal injuries. The project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Lavras with the protocol number of CAAE: 31822714.6.0000.5148.

Procedures
At first, the evaluation to characterize the sample was carried out, and, soon after, a test of maximum repetition (1RM) [12] for the guided half squat (Physicus®). After an interval of 72 hours, the subjects performed the protocol of progressive loads for the guided half squat. For this procedure were made progressive increases of 10 to 10% of 1RM workload until the value of 70%. Each exercise series lasted for one minute, it was defined 2 seconds for each phase of the dynamic motion (eccentric and concentric) controlled by a digital metronome (Metronome Plus®). Between each series, it was observed a recovery range equivalent to two minutes. The exercise was stopped at the time that the subjects did not undergo the pace pre-established, resulting of the maximal voluntary fatigue for that situation, or when the subject asked to stop, or if reached 70% of 1RM. Electromyography was recorded through a Miotool electromyography, 400 Miotec® model. It was used a SDS500 sensor of gain of 1000 times and 2000 Hz of sampling frequency. Subsequently, the signal was subjected to a section, excluding the first and last repetition and further subjected to a bandpass filter between 20 to 500Hz, using for the analysis the values of root mean square (RMS). The muscles analyzed were Rectus Femoris (RF), Vastus Medialis (VM) and Vastus Lateralis (VL).
In order to determine the electromyographic threshold (EMGTh) by the mathematical method, RMS data were used in a simple regression equation and the point of greatest distance below the straight line was determined as the EMGTh [8]. In the visual inspection method, two researchers observed the RMS data and from the break point of linearity of the points was determined EMGTh [13].

**Statistical Analyses**

For statistical analysis we used the SPSS software, version 21.0 for Windows. As it presents the statistics by mean ± standard deviation, normality was tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and sphericity by Mauchly test with epsilon’s correction of Huynh-Feldt. To compare the results of different EMGTh identification methods was used Intra-class Correlation coefficient, with confirmation by Pearson’s correlation.

It was still used the Wilcoxon test for comparison between the different stages in which the thresholds were found for each grouping in Visual and Mathematical method, and for confirmation was used comparison by ANOVA of repeated measures with subsequent paired analysis of Bonferroni, among the values obtained at each stage and the values corrected by simple regression equation. Always adopting the significance value p <0.05.

**Results**

The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to compare the EMGTh identification methods, where high reproducibility value was obtained (0.835), overall confirmed by a moderately strong Pearson’s correlation of 0.721.

Comparison among the pairs of stages which the thresholds of muscles were determined in both methods, there was no significant difference between any of them (VM p = 0.785; RF p = 0.063; and VL p = 0.317) by Wilcoxon statistical test, as shown in Table 1.

Even so, using ANOVA of repeated measures, the average values of RMS were tested, the collected values and the ones corrected by simple regression equation, this way was determined the points where there was the breaking of linearity among the stages, with significant differences for all groups (p = 0.001 for VM on the collected data, and for the others p <0.001), according to Figures 1 and 2.

**Table 1.** Comparison of the points identified as EMGTh in Visual and Mathematical methods in load percentage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th>Visual (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Mathematical (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vastus Medialis (%)</td>
<td>38.6 ± 9.5</td>
<td>37.8 ± 11.9</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retus Femoris (%)</td>
<td>41.4 ± 10.3</td>
<td>37.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastus Lateralis (%)</td>
<td>40.0 ± 9.6</td>
<td>36.4 ± 10.1</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of the stages in which the visual and mathematical EMGTh were found.

**Figure 1:** Identification of the EMG threshold by the Visual Method.

*: Significant difference between the set point and the immediately preceding in the VM, #in the RF and ‡in the VL (p<0.05).

**Figure 2:** Identification of the EMGTh by the Mathematical Method.

*: Significant difference between the set point and the immediately preceding in the VM, #in the RF and ‡in the VL (p<0.05).
Discussion

This study aimed to compare two methods for identification of the electromyographic threshold in resisted exercise of the lower limbs, which found no difference in the identification of the same, with high reproducibility in the ICC (0.835), therefore, both methods agree with the point identified as EMGTh.

The results of this study, even for the RE, confirm the findings of Hug et al [14] which showed no significant differences between the two methods by observing the test stage and the RMS of the EMG. Having been presented the ICC for each grouping analyzed a value of good agreement between the two methods of identification, as well as the overall value.

The stages where there was identification of EMGTh corroborate the bibliographic findings which was found around 30 and 40% of 1RM [13, 15], this values were also found in this study, however, Lucia et al [16] had shown on a progressive test of a cyclic modality the anaerobic threshold at intensities close to 60 to 70% of VO2max suggesting that the EMGTh is related to the activity type and protocol, yet having a highly reproducible and significant ICC according to Lucia et al [17], the EMGTh shows no significant difference, and shows significant correlation to the lactate and ventilatory thresholds expressed in % VO2max for heart transplanted.

Therefore, the identification of EMGTh seems to be a good tool for RE prescription, and no significant differences were found among the stages of threshold found in visual methods and mathematical, which confirms the ICC, with also the correlation of 0.721 to all groups in general.

The identification of the EMGTh depends on the composition of the muscle fibers of the grouping analyzed, thus, the VL reaches lower transition threshold values than the other groups, qualitative analysis, as well as the findings of Aguiar et al [7], perhaps due to the fact that VL has a higher percentage of fast fibers [18], besides the composition of muscle fibers of each muscle studied [16]. The mostly dynamic conditions for strength training, antagonize the methodology applied by Aguiar et al [7] due to the principle of specificity, having already been reported a decrease in strength in incremental protocols for isometric RE, but EMGTh also presents sensitive to these changes allowing identification even in different situations.

If there is some difference between the intensity that identifies the EMGTh and lactate Threshold (13 and 16% of 1RM) Aguiar et al [7] justified by the immediate response obtained in the EMG, unlike blood lactate collection, which would make the EMGTh a more precise tool and objective about the assessment during the exercise, considering that the EMG have access to muscle physiological processes noninvasively, but Lucia et al [16] showed similarity among the identification methods of the transition thresholds, which infers that the EMGTh suffers a strong dependence on the muscle-test ration, being the first held with isometric RE and the second on a ergometer cycle (ramp test).

For the biceps curl, the EMGTh matches the Lactate Thresholds (LT), being the first transition threshold found for Lactate in 21.5 ± 2.4% of 1RM and EMGTh 22.7 ± 3.3, but LT2 was determined subsequently to the EMGTh (32.1 ± 2.5 and 28.8 ± 2.9% of 1RM, respectively) due to mechanical factors of the RE [11], in this study the thresholds of the VM muscles, RF and VL were found in about 40% of 1RM, however, the load increase was from 10 to 10% starting at 10%, and Nasser [11] worked with 20% in increments of 5 to 5% up to 40% of 1RM, and subsequently to that of 10 to 10%, using 3 minutes per stage (1 minute work and 2 minutes rest), but with different amounts of movements, 15 and 20 movements, respectively. The EMGTh found in this study suggests that it is the second TT, given that in Nasser’s work [11] was determined to 28.8% of 1RM, which comes to confirm a relationship between LT and the work time, and not necessarily just workloads.

Therefore, the findings of this study show that both methods, visual and mathematical, for identi-
fication of the EMGTh find the same results. Thus, the use of EMGTh for resistance exercise prescription is a good method because of its convenience, lower cost compared to other methods and is not invasive, besides it yet presents values of immediate response during exercise.
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