Abstract

Background: To identify through the scientific literature, the risk factors of the nursing diagnosis “risk of infection” in studies conducted in hospitalized cancer patients.

Method: This is an integrative literature review performed in databases Latin American Literature in Health Sciences; PubMedCentral, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature; Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online; Scopus Info Site; Web of Science; Nursing Databases; and Bibliographical Index Español de Ciencias de la Salud in January 2016, using the keywords of Health Sciences and Medical Subject Headings: (Neoplasms OR Cancer), “Cross Infection”, “Risk Factors”. Inclusion criteria were scientific articles available in full, with results of at least one risk factor for the nursing diagnosis studied in patients aged 18 years of age or older. After the analysis, the final sample consisted of 59 articles.

Results: Predominated studies outside Brazil with the level of evidence III.2. Out of 19 risk factors for diagnosis, 11 were identified in the literature for this population.

Conclusion: In studies of hospitalized cancer population, risk factors of nursing diagnosis “risk of infection” were found.

Introduction

Cancer is a major cause of mortality worldwide with approximately 14 million new cases and 8.2 million related deaths in 2012. The prospect
is that in two decades, the number of new cases
disease reaches 22 million. [1]

Infections related to health care are the most
common adverse events in the provision of health
care around the world and represent a significant
cause of morbidity and mortality. The high frequen-
cy of this event is directly associated with the use
of invasive devices and procedures, and inversely to
the income of countries and conditions of health
infrastructure. [2]

Oncologic patients are especially susceptible to
infections related to healthcare for two main rea-
sons: the first one, by the physiological manifesta-
tions of the disease culminating in depletion of cell
and humoral function of the immune system, and
immunosuppression caused by cytotoxic chemother-
apy treatment. The second one, because patients
are exposed to potentially pathogenic microorga-
nisms in treatment. As a result of the environment,
there is an increased length of hospital stay, com-
lications, and deaths among those who developed
infections compared to those who did not develop
infections. [3]

Among the risk factors related to the develop-
ment of hospital infections in oncology patients,
there is age, presence of comorbidities, especially
hypertension, diabetes mellitus and smoking; the
hospitalization; and invasive procedures. Hospital in-
fection is associated with higher rates of mortality
in patients undergoing cancer therapies. [4]

The nursing staff conceives a body of professio-
nals who perform therapeutic actions and comfort
to these patients and the proper attribution is clo-
er, being directly responsible for the care. Such care
is a set of efforts aimed at human beings, in which
it is up to the nursing staff to cope with the disease
in which there is a demand for prolonged treatment
and management of expected adverse effects. [5]

The systematization of Nursing care organizes
professional work on the method, personnel, and
instruments, enabling the implementation of the
nursing process (NP). This NP allows nurses to iden-
tify, understand, describe, intervene, resolve and
achieve results before the health problems. Given
this, the Nursing Diagnosis (ND) becomes inserted
into this NP. [6]

The use of nursing diagnosis risk of infection as
the first stage of the nursing process is justified by
the need to identify risk factors, which the patients
are submitted and then a directed care plan ela-
borated to reduce the development of this adverse
event.

Given the above, the aim of this study is to identi-
fy the literature of the risk factors nursing diagnosis
“risk of infection” in studies conducted with hospi-
talized oncologic patients.

Methodology
This is an integrative literature review method that
has the purpose of analysis and synthesis of various
independent studies addressing the same subject, in
an organized and orderly manner, to support critical
thinking and improvements in clinical practice. [7]

Therefore, six stages were used consisting of ela-
oration of the guiding question; search or sampling
in the literature; data collection; critical analysis of
the included studies; discussion of the results; and
presentation of the integrative review. In this study,
the guiding question was: what are the risk factors
related to the nursing diagnosis “risk of infection” in
hospitalized people with cancer found in the li-
terature? [7]

The following inclusion criteria were adopted:
1. scientific articles available in full; 2. Studies with
patients aged 18 years of age or older; 3. Studies
presenting at least one defining characteristic of
nursing diagnosis studied in the results. The studies
in editorial format, letter to the editor and literature
review were excluded.

The searches were conducted from January 19
to 30, 2016 in the databases and virtual libraries:
Latin American Literature in Health Sciences; Pub-
Med Central, Cumulative Index to Nursing and
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Allied Health Literature; Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online; Scopus Info Site; Web of Science; Nursing Databases; and Bibliographical Index Español de Ciencias la Salud.

Using keywords in Health Sciences (DeCS) and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH): (Neoplasms OR Cancer)/(Neoplasms OR Cancer), "Infection"/"Cross Infection", Risk Factors/"Risk Factors" by the operator Boolean AND. In SCOPUS, the search was conducted in a controlled manner by the excessive number of articles found while in the other the search was not controlled.

The choice of the keywords was due to the absence of the descriptor “risk of infection” in the nomenclature DeCS/MeSH and the lack of studies that addressed risk factors for nosocomial infection development when using the descriptor “nursing diagnosis” at intersections.

The study selection procedure was performed by peers, independently from a data collection instrument created by the authors, containing the following information: author/year basis, title, journal, the reference level of evidence and risk factor. Later, there was a meeting to define the articles that would be selected to compose the study, based on the consensus between the pair.

For the selection of studies, the PRISMA recommendations were used [8], as shown in Figure 1. With the application of the study descriptors, there were 640 articles found in the eight surveyed databases. After analyzing all the bases and their respective intersections, 22 articles were counted only once for being duplicated. Then, there was the reading of titles and abstracts, selecting 155 studies considered potentially relevant. After the review, 95 studies were selected for reading in full. After the full-text reading of the articles, it was found that 59 articles responded to the objective of this study and were included in the final sample of the review, two of LILACS, three of CINAHL, seven of MEDLINE, thirty-nine of SCOPUS and eight of PUBMED (Figure 1) (Table 1).

The selected articles were classified according to level of evidence adopting as a parameter of the Institute Joanna Briggs, which employs a compound classification system of four levels, “Level I: Evidence obtained from a systematic review containing only randomized controlled trials; Level II: Evidence obtained from at least one randomized controlled trial; Level III.1: Evidence obtained from well-designed controlled trials without randomization; Level III.2: Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort studies or case-control analytic studies, preferably
Table 1. Distribution of the domains and facets of QoL. João Pessoa, PB, 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Search strategy</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>AT</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lilacs</td>
<td>(Neoplasias OR Câncer) AND “Infecção Hospitalar” AND “Fatores de Risco.”</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinahl</td>
<td>(Neoplasms OR Cancer) AND &quot;Cross Infection&quot; AND &quot;Risk Factors&quot;</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medline</td>
<td>(Neoplasias OR Câncer) AND “Infecção Hospitalar” AND “Fatores de Risco.”</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>KEY (Neoplasms OR Cancer) AND &quot;Cross Infection&quot; AND &quot;Risk Factors&quot;</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubmed</td>
<td>(Neoplasms OR Cancer) AND &quot;Cross Infection&quot; AND &quot;Risk Factors&quot;</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web ofscience</td>
<td>(Neoplasms OR Cancer) AND &quot;Cross Infection&quot; AND &quot;Risk Factors&quot;</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bdenf</td>
<td>(Neoplasias OR Câncer) AND “Infecção Hospitalar” AND “Fatores de Risco.”</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibecs</td>
<td>(Neoplasias OR Câncer) AND “Infecção Hospitalar” AND “Fatores de risco”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>640</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*F: Found. **D: Duplicated. ***AT: Abstract title.****AF: Analysis in full. *****S: Selected

Table 2. Risk factors for the development of nosocomial infection identified in patients hospitalized with cancer. Natal/RN, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inadequate primary defenses</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in skin integrity (invasive procedures) [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]</td>
<td>35 (59.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing the peristalsis [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]</td>
<td>4 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking [23, 29, 30, 31]</td>
<td>4 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate secondary defenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukopenia [19, 24, 25, 26, 29, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58]</td>
<td>25 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression [23, 25, 29, 40, 43, 53, 54, 59]</td>
<td>11 (18.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin decrease [10, 21, 23, 39]</td>
<td>2 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe malnutrition [31, 60]</td>
<td>2 (3.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [10, 12, 16, 17, 23, 26, 31, 32, 37, 43, 45, 59, 61, 60, 62]</td>
<td>16 (27.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney failure [12, 16, 25, 26, 32, 37, 43, 59, 63, 62]</td>
<td>10 (16.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [23, 31, 32, 37, 43, 59, 61]</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity [10, 23, 62]</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from more than one center or research group; III. 3 Level: Evidence obtained from multiple time series with or without intervention and dramatic results in uncontrolled experiments; Level IV Opinion of respected authorities based on clinical criteria and experience, descriptive studies or expert committee reports. As for the ethical aspects of the studies, all articles studied respected the authorship. [9]

The presentation of results and discussion of the data occurred in a descriptive way, by providing the applicability of the review elaborated and provide grants to nurses on the use of nursing diagnosis in this population.

Results

This study analyzed 59 articles that met the proposed theme. Studies with evidence level III. 2 predominated, which corresponds to the Evidence obtained from well-designed cohort studies or case-control analytic studies. As the year of publication, studies have ranged between 1988 and 2015, with 27 articles (45.8%) in the last five years.

Out of the articles analyzed, it was observed that most articles come from outside Brazil, with good indexing and evaluation of high quality for nursing. Five articles were published in Brazilian journals,
three of them in The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases. As to the place of study and language, three studies were conducted in Brazil, one in the state of Rio de Janeiro [10] and two in São Paulo. [11, 12] Only one of them was presented in Portuguese.

Risk factors for infection risk nursing diagnosis to cancer patients at risk of hospital infections addressed in articles were grouped in defense inadequate primary categories, inadequate secondary defenses, malnutrition and chronic disease, and are listed in Table 2, respectively, according to the frequency with which they were found in studies.

Discussion
From the selected studies, there was a predominance of evidence presented in III. 2 level obtained from well-designed cohort studies or case-control analytic studies, implying the recommendations of the best results for application in professional practice mainly in the choice of assessment instruments and intervention proposals for the control of risk factors for infection. However, it is noteworthy the lack of randomized clinical trial having as focus the nursing care and the risk of infection in this population.

As for risk factors defenses, inadequate primary for cancer patients at risk of nosocomial infection stands out the invasive procedure which was present in 35 articles. Of them, 19 articles addressed the central venous catheter (CVC) as a risk factor, while the surgical procedure was listed in eighteen studies.

Intravenous catheters have brought great benefits to the treatment and control of disease symptoms, besides being used as parenteral feeding route in case of impossibility of enteral nutrition. However, studies point out that these pathways ultimately establish direct contact between the external environment and the bloodstream and thus increasing the likelihood of bloodstream infection development that can progress to sepsis with severe consequences. [65, 66]

Moreover, these accesses act as a foreign body, causing inflammation at the insertion site, resulting in decreasing of local anti-infection defense, allowing infections are established from small inoculants. Thus, every effort should be effect to prevent the development of this event which is a predictor of increased morbidity and mortality in this patients. [67]

During the hospitalization in oncology units, intravenous therapy is necessary at all stages of treatment, requiring venous access with good conditions of infusion medications, hydration, exams collection, and nutrition. These needs are met with the viability of central venous catheters, particularly of long-term, mainly used in antineoplastic chemotherapy administration. The increased exposure of this population to this type of catheterization makes them more vulneráveis the development of bloodstream infections related to these devices. [68]

A study in Japan studied the economic effect of infections related to central venous catheters and identified a substantial additional cost for the treatment of these infections, which include medications and medical supplies, and fees techniques. [69]

American study evaluated complications and postoperative mortality in a population with cancer, and the local surgical infection was the second more frequent complication. [70] This is a serious problem of hospital infections, for its incidence, morbidity, mortality, and financial costs. According to the Center for Disease Control (CDC), the factors supporting the occurrence of ISC are related to the patient, the surgical procedure, and the microorganism. [71]

Other factors favor and increase the risk infection related to the surgical place to undergo chemotherapy or radiotherapy before surgery. The radiotherapy causes a variety of tissue damage and vascular lesions leading to ischemia and compromises
the venous return and lymphatic drainage reducing the oxygen supply to tissue irradiated and therefore local defenses [72]. Chemotherapy decreases the proliferation of rapidly growing cells such as blood responsible for immunity, and the cells of body tissues, including their skin, complicating the healing process. [73]

Also regarding to inadequate primary defenses, inadequate peristalsis was found in our study in the occurrence of diarrhea among patients in the sample and stands out as a facilitator of infections development during its occurrence, the pathogens promote the synthesis and cytokine liberation which increases the inflammatory cascade, and aggravate epithelial injury through the release of reactive oxygen species, leading to inflammatory segregation. [74]

As for the inappropriate peristalsis listed as a risk factor by NANDA, it is known that the intestine actively participates in protecting the body against infection, since it is responsible for the synthesis of substrates used as harmful microorganisms barrier. Instability caused by episodes of diarrhea is responsible for the death of enterocytes, damaging the digestive, absorptive and protective capabilities allowing the flow of toxins through the intestine, which may relate to including a larger load infection and further damage to the ileum. [75]

As for smoking, this generates systemic and local changes, which strongly affect the respiratory tract, affecting the immune response, mechanical barrier of the respiratory system and mucociliary transport which is considered an important defense mechanism of the respiratory tract because it imprisons and expels the aggressors’ agents. The nicotine and other compounds present in the smoke have a suppressive effect on the immune system, which explains the increase in respiratory tract infection. [76]

Regarding leukopenia, medicines used to combat the sick cells and also destroy some of the healthy cells of the body. Bone marrow cells are quite susceptible to aggression caused by chemotherapeutic agents. As a result, leukocytes can be interfered with their production, in their fall determining blood counts. Among leukocytes, neutrophils are, in most cases, the front line of defense of the body. For this reason, when they are too low, the risk of infection is higher. [77]

Concerning risk factors inadequate defenses secondary, immune-suppression was found in a significant portion of the study. This can be caused by extensive use of immune-suppressive agents and glucocorticoids used in treatment antineoplastic and the use of antibiotics as influencers of immune-suppression. Glucocorticoids induce an increase in white blood cells and lymphopenia to compromise the growth, differentiation and lymphocyte proliferation by a decrease in Interleukin [2], affecting T-lymphocytes predominantly. Thus, the prolonged use of glucocorticoids enables the appearance of fungus infections, bacteria, and viruses that may generate severe disseminated infections. [78]

Indiscriminate use of antibiotics triggers and accelerates the defense mechanisms of bacteria, which by genetic mutations, they develop a resistance to these drugs, reducing their efficiency. This problem becomes relevant to public health since these drugs resistance happens at higher speeds than the development of new drugs. [79]

As regards the risk factor reduction in hemoglobin concentration, this is considered to be one factor that impairs the transport of oxygen to the tissues, chronic blood causing chronic blood loss, skin, and mucosal changes, gastrointestinal disturbances, weakness, palpitation, followed by infectious processes or prolonged inflammatory. [80]

As to malnutrition risk factor, this enables a wide variety of infectious diseases. The response of the immune system depends on cell replication and synthesis of protein active compounds, and this process dependent on nutritional status. Calories, amino acids, and fat-soluble vitamins are nutrients for which reduced availability causes a deficit in the immune system, such as decreased of humoral an-
tibodies and the surface of mucosal cell-mediated immunity, the bactericidal activity of phagocytes, among others. [81]

Concerning the chronic disease risk factor, studies highlight the bloodstream infection may also be due to the immune suppression associated with hyperglycemia direct consequence of diabetes. For this significantly decreases the function of neutrophils and monocytes through chemotaxis, and interfere with the phagocytic, and bactericidal function. [82, 83]

In chronic kidney disease and hypertension leads to tissue injury that carries on an ongoing immune stimulation. This favors the complete tissue destruction, installation, and disease progression. The persistent glomerular injury produces capillary pressure, increased glomerular filtration, and passage of proteins into the tubular fluid; glomerular origin of proteinuria increases the production of angiotensin II and promotes the release of inflammatory mediators, the cytokines. [84]

About obesity risk factor, excess adipose tissue enhances the formation of adipokines promoting negative impact on certain bodily functions, including the response of the immune system. The adipocytes secrete cytokines that allow the release of factors related to inflammation. [85]

**Conclusion**

After analysis of the studies, it was found that the nursing diagnosis "risk of infection" can be identified in cancer patients because of the risk factors listed 19 by NANDA, 11 have been identified in the literature for this population. The most common risk factors found in the results were “change in skin integrity” and “chronic disease,” respectively.

The identification of risk factors for the development of infection in hospitalized patients with cancer is an essential activity for the monitoring of a committed nursing to quality of care and the possibility of minimizing adverse events related to health assistance. Thus, developed nursing care enables accurate monitoring of risk factors and early intervention for those controllable factors to minimize infections and reduce morbidity and mortality associated with them.
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